## **Original article**

Premenstrual syndrome: A cross-sectional study among women of reproductive age in Sibu, Sarawak, Malaysia

Chin Yu Aun, Clarence Lee Han Wee, Hareet Singh, Kamini Manohyaran Pillai, Mirosh Mohan, Margareth Wong Ai Yung, Kong Hun Teh, Alex Tan Choon Yon, Nay Lwin, <sup>\*</sup>Win Myint Oo<sup>·</sup> Mohd Raili Bin Haji Suhaili Faculty of Medicine, SEGi University, Malaysia

## Abstract

**Background:** Premenstrual syndrome (PMS) is a collection of physical and psychological symptoms in relation to the menstrual cycle. Little is known about the prevalence and attitude of pre-menstrual syndrome among women of reproductive age in Sibu, Sarawak, Malaysia.

**Materials & Methods:** A cross-sectional study was carried out during the first quarter of 2017. A total of 255 women of reproductive age from both urban and rural areas of Sibu were voluntarily participated and faceto-face interview was undertaken.

**Results:** The prevalence of PMS was 44.3% (95% CI: 38.1%, 50.6%) and more than half of the respondents (56.1%; 95% CI: 49.8%, 62.3%) had positive attitude towards PMS. Although age, ethnicity, residence and education status were found to have significant association with having positive history of PMS, none of them were significantly related to the attitude towards PMS. The common symptoms of PMS were increased appetite, acne, mood swing, irritability and breast tenderness.

**Conclusion:** A significant portion of women in reproductive ages had positive history of PMS.

### Keywords: Premenstrual syndrome, PMS, Sibu, Malaysia

**Corresponding author:** 

Win Myint Oo Faculty of Medicine, SEGi University, Malaysia

## Introduction

Premenstrual syndrome (PMS) is a collection of physical and psychological symptoms in relation to the menstrual cycle. Symptoms range from mild or moderate to severe debilitating presentations which can cause disruptions in a woman's life. The psychological symptoms of premenstrual syndrome consist of affective lability (e.g mood swings), apathy, confusion, insomnia, anxiety, depressive symptoms, feeling of uselessness and marked irritability. The somatic presentations of PMS include fatigue, headache, loss of appetite, abdominal bloating, breast tenderness and acne. Most women suffer from at least one symptom of premenstrual syndrome and it usually does not affect their daily activities enormously.<sup>1,2</sup> Various studies revealed varying prevalence of PMS in different countries and settings.<sup>3-7</sup> The prevalence of PMS ranges from 12.2% in France<sup>5</sup> to 74.6% among secondary students in Seremban, Malaysia.<sup>3</sup> Besides, a study carried out in France showed 79.8% of symptomatic women with premenstrual syndrome did not seek medical consultation as they assumed symptoms were normal, 18.7% of symptomatic women sought treatment and 1.59% of symptomatic women were unsure.<sup>8</sup>

Little is known about the prevalence and attitude towards PMS among women of reproductive age in Sibu, Sarawak, Malaysia. Therefore, the present study was undertaken.

#### Aim:

To study the prevalence and attitude towards premenstrual syndrome among women of reproductive ages in Sibu, Sarawak, Malaysia.

### **Materials and Methods**

A cross-sectional study was carried out in both urban and rural areas of Sibu town from January to March 2017. Sample size was calculated using Epi-info version 7.0 statistical package. The prevalence of PMS, confidence limits and confidence level were set at 20%<sup>4</sup>, 5% and 95%, respectively. Altogether 255 women of reproductive age (i.e., between 18 and 45 completed years of age) were recruited into the study. Informed consent was taken and face-to-face interview was applied to get the necessary data. A pretested, structured questionnaire, developed in English language and back translated into Malay was used. Data entry and analysis was done using SPSS version 20 statistical package. Chi-squared test was utilized to assess the association between PMS and socio-demographic variables.

PMS was diagnosed based on the ACOG criteria.<sup>9</sup> Inclusion criteria used in the present study were (1) having regular menstrual cycle, (2) positive history of at least one affective symptom (anxiety, cravings, depression, angry outbursts, irritability, confusion, social withdrawal) and at least one somatic

symptom (breast tenderness, abdominal bloating, headache, swelling of extremities) with some limitation of daily activity and (3) the onset of symptoms must be during the week before menses in three cycles and relieved with the menstrual flow. The presence or absence of PMS was assessed for the past one year period from the date of data collection. Attitude towards PMS was assessed using three items of questionnaires with 5-point-Likert scale. Attitudinal status was categorized into two groups; positive (if total score was 11-15) and negative (if scores was < 11).

### Results

A total of 255 women participated in the study. Socio-demographic characteristics and residence of the respondents are shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Socio-demographic characteristics and residence of study participants

| Variables | Frequency (n=255) | Percent (%) |
|-----------|-------------------|-------------|
| Age-group |                   |             |
| 18-24     | 124               | 48.6        |
| 25 - 34   | 95                | 37.3        |
| 35 - 45   | 36                | 14.1        |
| Ethnicity |                   |             |
| Malay     | 59                | 23.1        |
| Chinese   | 61                | 23.9        |

| Iban              | 98  | 38.5 |
|-------------------|-----|------|
| Others            | 37  | 14.5 |
| Employment status |     |      |
| Employed          | 132 | 51.8 |
| Un-employed       | 123 | 48.2 |
| Education status  |     |      |
| Primary           | 22  | 8.6  |
| Secondary         | 123 | 48.2 |
| Tertiary          | 110 | 43.2 |
| Residence         |     |      |
| Urban             | 155 | 60.8 |
| Rural             | 100 | 39.2 |

The symptoms of PMS reported by the respondents are summarized in Table 2. Among the different presentations, the commonest is increase in appetite (63.1%), followed by acne (58.8%) and mood swings (56.1%). The least presentation experienced by the study participants is allergic reaction (3.1%).

Table 2: Symptoms of PMS

| Symptoms              | Frequency (n=255) | Percent |
|-----------------------|-------------------|---------|
| Appetite increase     | 161               | 63.1    |
| Acne                  | 150               | 58.8    |
| Mood Swings           | 143               | 56.1    |
| Food Cravings         | 134               | 52.5    |
| Irritability          | 126               | 49.4    |
| Oily Skin             | 126               | 49.4    |
| Breast Tenderness     | 119               | 46.7    |
| Fatigue               | 102               | 40.0    |
| Headache              | 96                | 37.6    |
| Backache              | 77                | 30.2    |
| Lack of Concentration | 71                | 27.8    |
| Anxiety               | 69                | 27.1    |
| Joint and Muscle Pain | 57                | 22.4    |
| Insomnia              | 55                | 21.6    |

| Abdominal Bloating     | 54 | 21.2 |
|------------------------|----|------|
| Disinterest in daily   |    |      |
|                        | 50 | 10 ( |
| activities             |    | 19.6 |
| Weight gain            | 50 | 19.6 |
|                        |    |      |
| Nervous Tension        | 49 | 19.2 |
| Crying                 | 49 | 19.2 |
|                        |    |      |
| Hypersomnia            | 48 | 18.8 |
| Forgetfulness          | 43 | 16.9 |
|                        |    |      |
| Frequent Urination     | 43 | 16.9 |
| Sensitive to Rejection | 42 | 16.5 |
|                        |    |      |
| Weakness Radiation     | 41 |      |
| Down Thighs            |    | 16.1 |
| Diarrhoea              | 40 | 15.7 |
|                        |    |      |
| Feeling Overwhelmed    | 39 | 15.3 |
| Depression             | 36 | 14.1 |
| Depression             | 30 | 14.1 |
|                        |    |      |

| Interpersonal<br>Conflicts | 36 | 14.1 |
|----------------------------|----|------|
| Confusion                  | 33 | 12.9 |
| Dizziness or Fainting      | 28 | 10.9 |
| Palpitation                | 28 | 10.9 |
| Fluid Retention            | 20 | 7.8  |
| Constipation               | 19 | 7.5  |
| Swollen Extremities        | 15 | 5.9  |
| Hives                      | 10 | 3.9  |
| Allergic Reaction          | 8  | 3.1  |

The prevalence of PMS among study population was 44.3% (95% CI: 38.1%, 50.6%). Table 3 shows the prevalence of PMS by socio-demographic characteristics and residence. Age, ethnicity, education and residence were significantly related to the occurrence of PMS (p < 0.05).

Table 3: The occurrence of PMS by socio-demographic characteristics and residence

| Variables | PMS | Total |  |
|-----------|-----|-------|--|
|           |     |       |  |

|                   | Present    | Absent     | (n=255)    | p-    |
|-------------------|------------|------------|------------|-------|
|                   | (n=113)    | (n=142)    |            | value |
| Age-group         |            |            |            |       |
| (completed years) | 58 (46.8%) | 66 (53.2%) | 124 (100%) |       |
| 18-24             | 31 (32.6%) | 64 (67.4%) | 95 (100%)  | 0.002 |
| 25-34             | 24 (66.7%) | 12 (33.3%) | 36 (100%)  |       |
| 35-45             |            |            |            |       |
| Ethnicity         |            |            |            | 0.026 |
| Malay             | 23 (39.0%) | 36 (61.0%) | 59 (100%)  |       |
| Chinese           | 21 (34.4%) | 40 (65.6%) | 61 (100%)  |       |
| Iban              | 55 (56.1%) | 43 (43.9%) | 98 (100%)  |       |
| Others            | 14 (37.8%) | 23 (62.2%) | 37 (100%)  |       |
| Employment        |            |            |            | 0.527 |
| status            | 61 (46.2%) | 71 (53.8%) | 132 (100%) |       |
| Employed          | 52 (42.3%) | 71 (57.7%) | 123 (100%) |       |
| Un-employed       |            |            |            |       |
| Education status  |            |            |            | 0.033 |
| Primary           | 13 (59.1%) | 9 (40.9%)  | 22         |       |
| Secondary         | 61 (49.6%) | 62 (50.4%) | 123        |       |
| Tertiary          | 39 (35.5%) | 71 (64.5%) | 110        |       |
| Residence         |            |            |            | <     |
| Urban             | 60 (60.0%) | 40 (40.0%) | 100 (100%) | 0.001 |
| Rural             | 53 (34.2%) |            | 155 (100%) |       |

| 10 | Asia Pacific Journal | of Health Sci | iences & Researd | ch. 2019:4(1) |
|----|----------------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|
|----|----------------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|

| 102     |  |
|---------|--|
| (65.8%) |  |
|         |  |

More than half of the respondents (56.1%; 95% CI: 49.8%, 62.3%) had positive attitude towards PMS. Although age, ethnicity, residence and education status were found to have significant association with having positive history of PMS, none of them were significantly related to the attitude towards PMS (Table 4).

Table 4: The attitude towards PMS by socio-demographic characteristics and residence

| Variables  | Attitude towar | ds PMS     | Total      | p-    |
|------------|----------------|------------|------------|-------|
|            | Positive       | Negative   | (n=255)    | value |
|            | (n=143)        | (n=112)    |            |       |
| Age-group  |                |            |            |       |
| 18-24      | 65 (52.4%)     | 59 (47.6%) | 124 (100%) | 0.442 |
| 25-34      | 58 (61.1%)     | 37 (38.9%) | 95 (100%)  |       |
| 35 - 45    | 20 (55.6%)     | 16 (44.4%) | 36 (100%)  |       |
| Ethinicity |                |            |            |       |
| Malay      | 37 (62.7%)     | 22 (37.3%) | 59 (100%)  |       |
| Chinese    | 34 (55.7%)     | 27 (44.3%) | 61 (100%)  | 0.523 |
| Iban       | 50 (51.0%)     | 48 (49.0%) | 98 (100%)  |       |

| 11 | Asia Pacific Journal | of Health | Sciences & | Research. | 2019:4(1) |
|----|----------------------|-----------|------------|-----------|-----------|
|----|----------------------|-----------|------------|-----------|-----------|

| Others           | 22 (59.5%) | 15 (40.5%) | 37 (100%)  |       |
|------------------|------------|------------|------------|-------|
|                  |            |            |            |       |
| Employment       |            |            |            | 0.310 |
| status           | 70 (53.0%) | 62 (47.0%) | 132 (100%) |       |
| Employed         | 73 (59.3%) | 50 (40.7%) | 123 (100%) |       |
| Un-employed      |            |            |            |       |
| Education status |            |            |            | 0.952 |
| Primary          | 13 (59.1%) | 9 (40.9%)  | 22         |       |
| Secondary        | 69 (56.1%) | 54 (43.9%) | 123        |       |
| Tertiary         | 61 (55.5%) | 49 (44.5%) | 110        |       |
| Residence        |            |            |            | 0.203 |
| Urban            | 61 (61.0%) | 39 (39.0%) | 100 (100%) |       |
| Rural            | 82 (52.9%) | 73 (47.1%) | 155 (100%) |       |

## Discussion

The most prevalent symptoms experienced by the respondents were increased appetite, acne, mood swings, food cravings, irritability, oily skin, breast tenderness and fatigue. More or less the same symptoms were reported by similar studies done in different countries such as India<sup>10,11</sup>, Pakistan<sup>12</sup>, China<sup>4</sup>, and Iran.<sup>13</sup>

This study provides information on the prevalence of PMS among women of reproductive age in Sibu and their attitude towards PMS. The prevalence of PMS among study population was 44.3%. This is much higher than those of studies conducted among students from secondary school in Sri Lanka (8.8%)<sup>14</sup> and among college students in India (18.4%).<sup>15</sup> However, the prevalence of PMS determined by the present study is lower than those reported in studies done among secondary school students in Seremban. Malaysia  $(74.6\%)^3$ , and among university students in Egypt  $(56.1\%)^{16}$  and Iran (98.2%).<sup>13</sup> Besides, the prevalence of PMS revealed in similar community based studies carried out in France<sup>5</sup>, Spain<sup>8</sup> and China<sup>4</sup> were 12.2%, 8.9% and 21.1%, respectively while institution based studies conducted in Saudi Arabia<sup>17</sup> and Inida<sup>11</sup> reported that the prevalence of PMS among women of reproductive age were 56% and 67%, respectively. The differences of age, socio-cultural characteristics such as education, occupation etc. and awareness on PMS among study populations could explain these findings. The utilization of different diagnostic criteria should also be taken into consideration in comparing the prevalence of PMS among various studies. Direkvand-Moghadam and colleagues<sup>18</sup> concluded in their study that the use of various measurement tools and the difference in study population were solely responsible for the differences in the reported prevalence of PMS among studies. However, the pooled prevalence of PMS worldwide (47.8%) and in Asia (46%) reported in a meta-analysis<sup>18</sup> were almost similar to that of present study (44.3%).

In this study, age, education status, ethnicity and residence of the respondents were significantly related to the occurrence of PMS. Previous studies also

revealed similar findings. Independent studies conducted in India and Pakistan reported that residence<sup>19</sup>, education<sup>19,20</sup> and age<sup>21,22</sup> were significantly associated with the prevalence of PMS.

In this study, more than half of the respondents (56.1%) had positive attitude towards PMS. This finding is consistent with those found in similar studies carried out in Kelantan, Malaysia<sup>23</sup>, Spain<sup>8</sup> and UK.<sup>24</sup> This might be due to the fact that women in Sibu may be knowledgeable or have a generally high tolerance towards the symptoms of PMS. However, no clear associations could be elicited between socio-demographic factors and attitudes.

### Conclusion

A significant proportion of women of reproductive age in Sibu, Sarawak, Malaysia had positive history of PMS and they should be encouraged to seek out appropriate treatment. Age, ethnicity, residence and education status were significantly associated with the occurrence of PMS but not with attitude.

### References

1. Cheng SH, Shih CC, Yang YK, Chen KT, Chang YH, Yang YC. Factors associated with premenstrual syndrome - a survey of new female university students. Kaohsiung J Med Sci. 2013; 29(2): 100-105.

2. Ogebe O, Abdulmalik J, Bello-Mojeed MA, Holder N, Jones HA, Ogun OO, Omigbodun O. A comparison of the prevalence of premenstrual dysphoric disorder and comorbidities among adolescents in the United States of America and Nigeria. J Pediatr Adolesc Gynecol. 2011; 24(6): 397-403.

3. Lee LK, Chen PCY, Lee KK, Kaur J. Menstruation among adolescent girls in Malaysia: a cross-sectional school survey. Singapore Med J. 2006; 47(10): 869-874.

4. Qiao M, Zhang H, Liu H, Luo S, Wang T, Zhang J, Ji L. Prevalence of premenstrual syndrome and premenstrual dysphoric disorder in a populationbased sample in China. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol. 2012; 162(1):83-86.

5. Potter J, Bouyer J, Trussell J, Moreau C. Premenstrual Syndrome Prevalence and Fluctuation over Time: Results from a French Population-Based Survey. J Women Health. 2009; 18(1): 31-39.

6. Sadler C, Smith H, Hammond J, Bayly R, Borland S, Panay N, Crook D, Inskip H, Southampton women's survey study group. Lifestyle factors, hormonal contraception, and premenstrual symptoms: the United Kingdom Southampton Women's Survey. J Womens Health. 2010; 19(3): 391-396.

7. Petta CA, Osis MJD, de Pádua KS, Bahamondes L, Makuch MY. Premenstrual syndrome as reported by Brazilian women. Int J Gynaecol Obstet. 2010; 108(1): 40-43.

8. Lete I, Dueñas JL, Serrano I, Doval JL, Martínez-Salmeán J, Coll C, et al,. Attitudes of Spanish women toward premenstrual symptoms, premenstrual syndrome and premenstrual dysphoric disorder: results of a nationwide survey. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol. 2011; 159(1): 115-118.

 Freeman EW. Premenstrual syndrome. Available at: <u>https://www.glowm.com/resources/glowm/cd/pages/v1/v1c023.html</u>, Accessed 20 April 2017.

 Jain H, Parekh A. Prevalence of premenstrual syndrome in married and unmarried females of 18-28 years of age. Indian Journal of Physical Therapy. 2015; 3(2): 56-61.

11. Padhy SK, Sarkar S, Beherre PB, Rathi R, Panigrahi M, Patil PS. Relationship of premenstrual syndrome and premenstrual dystrophic disorder with major depression: relevance to clinical practice. Indian J Psychol Med. 2015; 37(2): 159-164.

12. Sitwat Z, Abid A, Arif A, Basit A, Anwar QM. Premenstrual syndrome symptoms and prevalence among university students in Karachi, Pakistan. Int Res J Pharm. 2013; 4(4): 113-116.

14. Bakhshani NM, Mousavi MN, Khodabandeh G. Prevalence and severity of premenstrual symptoms among Iranian female university students. J Pak Med Assoc. 2009; 59(4): 205-208.

15. Chandraratne NK, Gunawardena NS. Premenstrual syndrome: the experience from a sample of Sri Lankan adolescents. J Pediatr Adolesc Gynecol. 2011; 24(5): 304-310.

16. Raval CM, Panchal BN, Tiwari DS, Vala AU, Bhatt RB. Prevalence of premenstrual syndrome and premenstrual dysphoric disorder among college students of Bhavnagar, Gujarat. Indian J Psychiatry. 2016; 58(2): 164-170.

17. Nooh AM, Abdul-Hady A, El-Attar N. Nature and Prevalence of Menstrual Disorders among Teenage Female Students at Zagazig University, Zagazig, Egypt. J Pediatr Adolesc Gynecol. 2016; 29(2): 137-142.

18. Aljohani SL. Premenstrual syndrome knowledge and attitude among women attending family medicine clinics, King Khalid Military Hospital, Tabuk city. Int J Med Sci Public Health. 2016; 5(4): 773-776.

19. Direkvand-Moghadam A, Sayehmiri K, Delpisheh A, Kaikhavandi S. Epidemiology of Premenstrual Syndrome (PMS)-A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Study. Journal of J Clin Diagn Res. 2014; 8(2): 106-109.

20. Raval CM, Panchal BN, Tiwari DS, Vala AU, Bhatt RB. Prevalence of premenstrual syndrome and premenstrual dystrophic disorder among college students of Bhavnagar, Gujarat. Indian J Psychiatry. 2016; 58(2): 164-170.

21. Kumari S, Sachdeva A. Patterns and predictors of premenstrual symptoms among females working in a psychiatry hospital. Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2016/6943852 Accessed 27 April 2017.

22. Joshi JV, Pandey SN, Galvankar P, Gogate JA. Prevalence of premenstrual symptoms: Preliminary analysis and brief review of management strategies. J Midlife Health. 2010; 1(1): 30-34.

23. Nisar N, Zehra N, Haider G, Munir AA, Sohoo NA. Frequency, intensity and impact of premenstrual syndrome in medical students. J Coll Physicians Surg Pak. 2008; 18(8):481-484.

24. Wong LP. Attitudes toward menstruation, menstrual-related symptoms, and premenstrual syndrome among adolescent girls: a rural school-based survey. Journal of Women & Health. 2011; 51(4): 340-364.

25. Alexander DA, Taylor RJ, Fordyce ID. Attitudes of general practitioners towards premenstrual symptoms and those who suffer from them. J R Coll Gen Pract. 1986; 36(282):10–2.